



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/788,459	02/21/2001	Lory Dean Molesky	19111.0013	5665
23517 7.	590 09/27/2004		EXAM	INER
SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, LLP			LY, ANH	
3000 K STREE BOX IP	T, NW	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		2172	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Auntication No.	Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/788,459	MOLESKY, LORY DEAN			
	Examiner Anh Ly	Art Unit 2172			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONI avoid abandonment of this app 1) a timely filed amendment wi	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE. lication. A proper reply to a hich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from the ma AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS Of the date on which the petition under 37 I of extension and the corresponding a of the shortened statutory period for re- ffice later than three months after the	ciling date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension amount of the fee. The appropriate extension oply originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furtle	her consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or 	in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
Y. Sor purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved b	by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:		JEAN M. CORRIELUS			
		PAIMARY EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner maintains the rejection:

Whang et al. of 6,496,817 teaches providing a subsequence matching method in time-series databases containing indexing time series data as sequences data representing values at specific time points (col. 1, lines 15-18, col. 4, lines 1-7) and building process to create the time series and matching process to find the time series by using the multidimensional indexes and time series database (col. 4, lines 28-67 and col. 5, lines 1-8; also see figs 3 and 4 and col. 7, lines 36-62). Time-series data or data sequences is/are a multi-level data structure with a creation of multi-dimensional indexes (col. 4, 8-18). While Nakase et al. of 6,230,064 teaches generating time series database (col. 5, lines 1-20) and extracting time series data from database and posting or labeling the time series data on the graph or chart (see figs 3, 10's and 11's, col. 5, lines 35-67, col. 8, lines 55-67 and col. 9, lines 1-38).